

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JAMES L. DAVIS, a.k.a,  
11 MICHAEL L. HOLMES,

12 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1390 LKK EFB P

13 vs.

14 TERESA S. SCHWARTZ, et al.,

15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 \_\_\_\_\_/  
17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action  
18 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On November 2, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations  
21 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any  
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff  
23 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-  
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire  
26 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by


1 proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 2, 2006, are adopted in  
4 full; and

5 2. Plaintiff's May 22, 2006, September 29, 2006, and October 2, 2006, motions  
6 for preliminary injunctions are denied.

7 DATED: December 21, 2006.

8  
9   
10 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
11 SENIOR JUDGE  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26